2002 Massachusetts/New Jersey Cameo Dwarf Rootstock Trial

Jon M. Clements

University of Massachusetts Extension

Winfred P. Cowgill, Jr.

New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Rutgers University

Wesley R. Autio

Department of Plant, Soil, & Insect Sciences, University of Massachusetts

In 2002 semi-formal NC-140 plantings were established at the University of Massachusetts Cold Spring Orchard Research and Education Center in Belchertown, MA and at the Rutgers Snyder Research and Extension Farm in Pittstown, NJ. Cameo apple trees (Willow Drive Nursery) on three dwarfing rootstocks – Geneva (G.) 16, M.9-NAKBT337 (M.9-337), and B.9 – were planted in a randomized complete block design (10 replications) spaced at 1.2 X 3.6 m. (Massachusetts) and 2.5 X 4.5 m. (New Jersey). All trees are trickle irrigated and have been trained to a vertical axis.

Annual measurements of trunk circumference, tree height and spread (2006 only, reported in 2006), suckering, fruit yield (beginning in 2003), and fruit size (NJ only 2004, 05, 08) have been made.

It is anticipated similar data collection will continue for another four growing seasons. An article on the up-to-date performance (2002-2009) of these three commercial dwarf rootstocks has been published in the Volume 74, Combined Issue of 'Fruit Notes.'

Results

This report presents data from the 2009 (8th leaf) growing season, and results are presented on page 2. in Tables 1-3.



Figure 1. Trunk crack on G.16 (10/29/2009).

Over both states, G.16 had the largest trunk area, followed by M.9 and B.9. (Table 1.) In Massachusetts, G.16 was larger than both M.9 and B.9. (Table 2.) In New Jersey, G.16 and M.9 are both larger than B.9.

Massachusetts rootstocks exhibited more suckering than New Jersey.y There was no

difference suckering between the rootstocks within State. (Table 2.) Longitudinal trunk cracks were observed on two (20%) G.16 rootstocks in Massachusetts, pictured above.

In 2009, there

Trunk gross Viold Cum. yield Viold Cum. yield Emil	
trees on three rootstocks in the 2002 MA/NJ NC-140 Cameo Dwarf Rootstock tr	ial.
Table 1. Overall trunk size, suckers, yield, and fruit size in 2009 of 'Cameo' ap	ple

Rootstock	Trunk cross- sectional area (cm2)	No. root suckers	Yield per tree (kg)	Cum. yield (2003-09) per tree (kg)	Yield efficiency (kg/cm2 TCA)	Cum. yield efficiency (2003-09) (kg/cm2 TCA)	Fruit weight (g)
G.16	47.5 a	1.3	25.0	106.2	0.49 b	3.7 b	221 b
M.9-337	37.3 b	2.6	30.0	106.8	0.9 a	4.2 b	254 a
B.9	22.8 c	1.3	19.1	87.2	0.85 a	5.3 a	241 ab

Levels not connected by same letter are significantly different. (Tukey HSD P=0.05)

Table 2. Trunk size and suckers by state in 2009 of 'Cameo' apple trees on three rootstocks in the 2002 MA/NJ NC-140 Cameo Dwarf Rootstock trial.

Rootstock		-sectional area m2)	No. root suckers			
	Mass.	New Jersey	Mass.	New Jersey		
G. 16	34.4 a	60.7 a	2.3	0.3		
M.9-337	18.9 b	55.7 a	4.6	0.5		
B.9	15.8 b	29.7 b	1.8	0.8		
Levels not c	onnected by same letter	are significantly differen	nt (Tukey HSD	P=0.05)		

was no difference in yield per tree between the rootstocks across both states. (Table 1.). Cumulative yield (2003-2009) did not differ either. Yield efficiency, however, was greater for both B.9 and M.9 compared to G.16. B.9 had the highest cumulative yield efficiency compared to both M.9 and G.16.

There is no difference in yield and cumulative yield per tree by rootstocks in both states. (Table 3.) Yield efficiency, however, was highest in Massachusetts for M.9, followed by B.9, and then G.16 with the lowest efficiency. (Table 3.) B.9, however, was more yield-efficient in New Jersey than the other two rootstocks. Similarly, cumulative yield efficiency (2003-2009) was highest for B.9 in New Jersey, but in Massachusetts there was

no difference between the rootstocks.

Across both states, M.9 fruit were larger than G.16 fruit, but did not differ in size from B.9 fruit. (Table 1) In New Jersey, G.16 fruit were smaller than both M.9 and B.9 fruit. And overall in 2009, New Jersey fruit were smaller (230 g) than Massachusetts fruit (248 g).

Table 3. Yield and fruit size by state in 2009 of 'Cameo' apple trees on three rootstocks in the 2002 MA/NJ NC-140 Cameo Dwarf Rootstock trial.

Rootstock	Yield per tree (kg)		(20 pe	Cum. yield (2003-09) per tree (kg)		Yield efficiency (kg/cm2 TCA)		Cum. yield efficiency (2003-09) (kg/cm2 TCA)		Fruit weight (g)	
	Mass.	New Jersey	Mass.	New Jersey	Mass.	New Jersey	Mass.	New Jersey	Mass.	New Jersey	
G. 16	9.6	40.4	68.6	143.9	0.32 c	0.66 b	4.10	3.27 b	243	199 b	
M.9-337	20.0	40.1	60.1	153.5	1.07 a	0.73 b	4.62	3.88 b	252	257 a	
B.9	11.9	26.3	49.0	125.4	0.79 b	0.90 a	5.01	5.63 a	248	234 a	

